



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

84
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/991,911	11/26/2001	Kozo Akiyoshi	13823	7552

7590 06/30/2005
DOWELL & DOWELL, P.C.
Suite 309
1215 Jefferson Davis Highway
Arlington, VA 22202

EXAMINER CHEN, WENPENG	
ART UNIT 2624	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/991,911

Applicant(s)

AKIYOSHI ET AL.

Examiner

Wenpeng Chen

Art Unit

2624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 February 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Examiner's responses to Applicant's remark

1. Applicant's arguments and amendments filed on 2/3/2005 have been fully considered.
2. Applicant's amendments overcomes the following set forth in paper #20041025:
 - objection to specification (paragraph 1);
 - objection to Claim 3 (paragraph 2).
3. Applicant's arguments with regard to art rejection based on Jung '030 and Jung '761 are not persuasive. The Examiner has thoroughly reviewed Applicants' arguments but firmly believes that the cited reference to reasonably and properly meet the claimed limitation.

Applicants's argument -- Both Jung '030 and Jung '761 do not teach or suggest that a corresponding point file includes "difference data on attribute values of the corresponding points" of the two different images, as claimed, for example, in independent Claim 1.

Examiner's response -- The Examiner disagreed with this conclusion. Applicant is reminded that the Examiner is entitled to give the broadest reasonable interpretation to the language of the claims. The Examiner is not limited to Applicants' definition which is not specifically set forth in the claims. In re Tanaka et al., 193 USPQ 139, (CCPA) 1977.

As presented in the previous Office Action, the Examiner considered (1) the original reference frame and the current frame of Jung '030 to be Applicant's first and second acquired images, respectively, and (2) the previous frame and the current frame of Jung '761 to be

Art Unit: 2624

Applicant's first and second acquired images, respectively, both within the broad meaning of the terms. The Applicant may have different definitions for the first and second acquired images. However, the definitions are not explicitly recited in Claim 1 to separate them from the teachings of Jung '030 or Jung '761.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-6 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jung et al. (US patent 5,978,030, hereafter referred as Jung 030', cited previously.)

Jung 030' teaches an image interpolation method, comprising:

-- acquiring a first image and a second image; (column 10, lines 50-64; The original reference frame and the current frame are the 1st and 2nd images, respectively.)

-- computing a matching between the first image and the second image by detecting points which correspond between the images; (column 12, line 4 to column 14, line 13; The current frame and the original reference frame are matched with the quasi-feature points to determine motion vectors of the quasi-feature points. In the process, points which correspond between the images are determined pixel by pixel.)

Art Unit: 2624

-- generating a corresponding point file based on the matching, where the corresponding point file comprises positional information on the corresponding points and difference data on attribute values of the corresponding points; (column 7, lines 29-44; column 7, line 65 to column 8, line 9; column 14, lines 14-27; The position addresses and motion vectors of features points are the positional information. The error signal comprises difference data on attribute values of the corresponding points of features points and every pixel.)

-- wherein the difference data on attribute values comprises a difference between an attribute value of a point in the first image and an attribute value of a corresponding point in the second image; (column 7, lines 29-44; column 7, line 65 to column 8, line 9; The difference between a reconstructed pixel value of the original image and a pixel value of a corresponding point in the current image.)

-- wherein said attribute values of the corresponding points comprise color values of the corresponding points; (column 6, lines 19-36; Digital video signal is either color or black-and-white. In the first case, there are RGB components of various intensities. In the second case, there are gray-level intensities. The intensities are the color values.)

-- generating an intermediate image based on the first image and the second image by performing interpolation based on the first image and the corresponding point file; (column 14, lines 14-27; The predicted current frame signal is the intermediate image, wherein the previous frame is the reference signal.)

-- wherein the difference data are entropy-coded and, thereafter, the entropy-coded difference data are stored in the corresponding point file. (entropy coder 107 of Fig. 1; The entropy-coded difference data reside in the corresponding point file.)

Art Unit: 2624

Jung 030' teaches an image interpolation apparatus (Fig. 1), comprising:

- an image input unit which acquires a first image and a second image; (column 10, lines 50-64; The original reference frame and the current frame are the 1st and 2nd images, respectively.)

- a matching processor which computes a matching between the first image and the second image and which generates a corresponding point file by detecting points that correspond between the images, (column 12, line 4 to column 14, line 13; The current frame and the original reference frame are matched with the quasi-feature points to determine motion vectors of the quasi-feature points. In the process, points which correspond between the images are determined pixel by pixel.)

- wherein the corresponding point file comprises positional information on the points that correspond between the images and difference data of pixel values on the points that correspond between the images; (column 7, lines 29-44; column 7, line 65 to column 8, line 9; column 14, lines 14-27; The position addresses and motion vectors of features points are the positional information. The error signal comprises difference data on attribute values of the corresponding points of features points and every pixel.)

- wherein said matching processor detects points on the second image that correspond to lattice points of a mesh provided on the first image, and based on a thus detected result a destination polygon corresponding to the second image is defined on a source polygon that constitutes the mesh on the first image; (column 10, lines 50-65; column 12, line 4 to column 14, line 13; The lattice points of a mesh for matching are provided from the original reference frame through the reconstructed reference frame.)

Art Unit: 2624

-- wherein the difference data are entropy-coded and, thereafter, the entropy-coded difference data are stored in the corresponding point file. (entropy coder 107 of Fig. 1; The entropy-coded difference data reside in the corresponding point file.)

6. Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 11, 13-14, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jung et al. (US patent 5,654,761, hereafter referred as Jung 761', cited previously.)

a. Jung 761' teaches an image interpolation method, comprising:

-- acquiring a first image and a second image; (column 4, lines 23-65; The previous frame and the current frame are the 1st and 2nd images, respectively.)

-- computing a matching between the first image and the second image by detecting points which correspond between the images; (column 3, line 40 to column 4, line 65. The current frame and previous frame are matched with the feature points to determine motion vectors of the feature points. In the process, points which correspond between the images are determined.)

-- generating a corresponding point file based on the matching, where the corresponding point file comprises positional information on the corresponding points and difference data on attribute values of the corresponding points; (column 3, line 40 to column 4, line 22 ; The position addresses and motion vectors of features points are the positional information. The error signal comprises difference data on attribute values of the corresponding points of features points and every pixel.)

Art Unit: 2624

-- wherein the difference data on attribute values comprises a difference between an attribute value of a point in the first image and an attribute value of a corresponding point in the second image; (column 3, line 40 to column 4, line 22; the difference between a point in the predicted current image and a pixel value of a corresponding point in the current image.)

-- wherein said attribute values of the corresponding points comprises color values of the corresponding points; (column 3, line 40 to column 4, line 22; Video signal is either color or black-and white. In the first case, there are RGB components of various intensities. In the second case, there are gray-level intensities. The intensities are the color values.)

-- generating an intermediate image based on the first image and the second image by performing interpolation based on the first image and the corresponding point file; (column 3, line 40 to column 4, line 22; The predicted current frame is the intermediate image that is based on the previous frame.)

-- wherein the difference data are entropy-coded and, thereafter, the entropy-coded difference data are stored in the corresponding point file. (coder 107 of Fig. 1; The entropy-coded difference data reside in the corresponding point file.)

Jung 761' teaches an image interpolation apparatus (Fig. 1), comprising:

-- an image input unit which acquires a first image and a second image; (column 4, lines 23-65; The previous frame and the current frame are the 1st and 2nd images, respectively.)

-- a matching processor which computes a matching between the first image and the second image and which generates a corresponding point file by detecting points that correspond between the images; (column 3, line 40 to column 4, line 65. The current frame and previous

Art Unit: 2624

frame are matched with the feature points to determine motion vectors of the feature points. In the process, points which correspond between the images are determined.)

-- wherein the corresponding point file comprises positional information on the points that correspond between the images and difference data of pixel values on the points that correspond between the images; (column 3, line 40 to column 4, line 22 ; The position addresses and motion vectors of features points are the positional information. The error signal comprises difference data on attribute values of the corresponding points of features points and every pixel.)

-- wherein the difference data are entropy-coded and, thereafter, the entropy-coded difference data are stored in the corresponding point file. (; The entropy-coded difference data reside in the corresponding point file.)

b. Jung 761' teaches an image interpolation apparatus, comprising:

-- a communication unit which acquires a corresponding point file which describes a matching result of a first image and a second image wherein the corresponding point file comprises positional information on points which correspond between the first image and the second image and difference data of attribute values of points which correspond between the first image and the second image; (column 5, lines 28-37; The encoded error signal and motion vectors generated in Fig. 1 are transmitted to the decoder shown in Fig. 4.)

-- an intermediate image generator which generates an intermediate image based on the first image and the second image by performing interpolation based on the first image and the corresponding point file; (column 5, lines 28-64; The predicted current frame signal is the intermediate image.)

Art Unit: 2624

-- further comprising a corresponding point file storage unit for storing the corresponding point file in a manner such that the corresponding point file is associated with the first image; (memory 424 of Fig. 4)

-- wherein said intermediate image generator generates said intermediate image by moving a point within the first image according to the positional information and varying the attribute value of the point based on the difference data. (column 5, line 28 to column 6, line 7; The adder 415 of Fig. 4 provides the varying function.)

The above citations also teach the method of Claim 14.

c. With regard to the newly added Claim 20, there is no frame between the previous frame and the current frame that are the 1st and 2nd images, respectively. Thus, the intermediate image cannot relate to any known frame between the previous frame and the current frame. The above-cited passages therefore teach then feature recited in Claim 20.

-- wherein said intermediate image does not relate to a known frame between said first image and said second image.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2624

8. Claims 8, 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jung et al. (US patent 5,654,761) as applied to Claim 7, and further in view of Burns (US patent 5,940,129 cited previously.)

Jung 761' teaches every limitations of Claims 8, 10, and 12 except the feature related to a display unit.

Burns teaches decoding a video sequence and display the video, comprising:

-- a display unit which displays the video image. (Fig. 4)column 6, line 61 to column 7, line 14)

It is desirable to receive and view a video, because that provides final utility. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to apply Burns' teaching to use a display unit to display the decoded images, including the frames stored in memory 424, of Jung 761' because the combination provides final utility. The combination thus teaches an apparatus further comprising:

-- a display unit which displays at least the intermediate image.

10. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jung et al. (US patent 5,654,761) in view of Pearlman et al. (US patent 5,764,807 cited previously.)

Claims 15-17 recite the corresponding media storing computer programs for implementing the methods of Claims 1, 4, and 14, respectively.

Jung 761', as discussed above, teaches the methods of claims 1, 4, and 14. However, Jung 761' does not explicitly teach a computer readable medium as recited in the claims.

Art Unit: 2624

Pearlman teaches a computer program product comprising a computer readable medium and a computer program. (Column 2, lines 47-53)

It is desirable to make a processing method portable from a computer to another computer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to store the processing steps of the method taught by Jung 761' in a computer readable medium taught by Pearlman, because the combination makes the processing method portable and therefore increase its application.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). The Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2624

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wenpeng Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-7431. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K Moore can be reached on 571-272-7437. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications. TC 2600's customer service number is 571-272-2600.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Wenpeng Chen
Examiner
Art Unit 2624

June 14, 2005

